1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C. Andrew D. Skale (211096) ADSkale@mintz.com Laura Franco (186765) LFranco@mintz.com Valerie Phan (306503) VPhan@mintz.com Anthony J. Viola (pro hac vice) AJViola@mintz.com Kara M. Cormier (pro hac vice) KMCormier@mintz.com 44 Montgomery Street, 36th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephores 415 422 6000	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Margret M. Caruso (Bar No. 243473) margretcaruso@quinnemanuel.com Sara L. Pollock (SBN 281076) sarapollock@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 Attorneys for Defendants io Products, Inc., OpenAI, Inc., OpenAI, LLC, and Sam Altman
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Telephone: 415.432.6000 LWD ADVISORS, INC. Jeff Hyman (171896) jeff@lwdadvisors.com 700 El Camino Real Suite 120 #1310 Menlo Park CA 94025 Telephone: 650.219.4229 Attorneys for Plaintiff IYO, INC.	JONES DAY David Kiernan (Bar No. 215335) dkiernan@jonesday.com 555 California Street 26 th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-5745 Meredith Wilkes (pro hac vice forthcoming) mwilkes@jonesday.com 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone (216) 586-7231 Attorneys for Defendant Sir Jonathan Paul Ive
18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
21 22	IYO, INC.	Case No. 3:25-cv-4861-TLT
23 24	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION WITH [PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL
25 26	IO PRODUCTS, INC., OPENAI, INC., OPENAI, LLC, SAM ALTMAN, and SIR JONATHAN PAUL IVE,	Hon. Trina L. Thompson Courtroom 9, 19th Floor Complaint Filed: June 9, 2025
27 28	Defendants.	Trial: January 10, 2028 Case No. 3:25-cv-4861-TLT
	Company	ND [Dropogen] Oppen to CTAY Droggenburg Deviburg Appeal

Plaintiff IYO, Inc. ("IYO") and Defendants io Products, Inc., OpenAI, Inc., OpenAI, LLC, Sam Altman, and Sir Jonathan Paul Ive (collectively, "Defendants") (and together with IYO, the "Parties"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate, subject to Court approval, as follows:

WHEREAS, on June 20, 2025, the Court issued its Order Granting Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (Dkt. 51 (the "Temporary Restraining Order")), which set a deadline of August 1, 2025 for IYO to file any motion for preliminary injunction, a deadline of August 22, 2025 for Defendants to file any response, a deadline of September 5, 2025 for IYO to file any reply, and a hearing on IYO's motion for preliminary injunction for October 7, 2025;

WHEREAS, on June 26, 2025, Defendants filed their Notice of Appeal of the Temporary Restraining Order to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Dkt. 53 (the "Notice of Appeal"));

WHEREAS, on July 1, 2025, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals docketed Defendants' appeal (the "Appeal") and entered a Preliminary Injunction Time Schedule Notice (Dkt. 54), setting a deadline of July 25, 2025 for Defendants' Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief and a deadline of August 22, 2025 for IYO's Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to file a Joint Motion to further expedite the Appeal that sets a deadline of July 24, 2025 for Defendants' Preliminary Injunction Opening Brief, a deadline of August 14, 2025 for IYO's Preliminary Injunction Answering Brief, and a deadline of August 28, 2025 for Defendants' Reply Brief;

WHEREAS, for purposes of judicial economy and to avoid concurrent proceedings, the Parties agree that this action should be stayed for a period while Defendants' Appeal is before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals;

WHEREAS, no previous time modifications affecting the Court's scheduling order have occurred in this action;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and agree, and respectfully request that the Court order, as follows:

1. Pursuant to the Court's inherent power to manage its docket, this action shall be stayed for all purposes pending the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issuing a decision on the Appeal.

-2- Case No. 3:25-cv-4861-TLT

1	2. All deadlines set in the Temporary Restraining Order shall be vacated, except that		
2	the hearing on IYO's motion for preliminary injunction shall be reset to March 17, 2026, or the		
3	soonest available date thereafter.		
4	3. Within one week of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issuing a decision on the		
5	Appeal, the Parties shall meet and confer regarding a proposed briefing and hearing schedule for		
6	any motion for preliminary injunction.		
7	4. If the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has not issued its decision by November 17		
8	2025, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith about how this action should proceed in light		
9	of developments to date in the Appeal, including the hearing date.		
10	5. IYO's agreement to further expedite the Appeal does not constitute a waiver of any		
11	jurisdictional arguments or an admission that Defendants are suffering harm and that IYO is not		
12	waiving any rights or arguments, including without limitation arguments regarding appellate		
13	jurisdiction.		
14	6. Defendants are not waiving any rights or arguments, including without limitation		
15	arguments that the Temporary Restraining Order was improperly in effect for longer than 14 days.		
16			
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
18			
19	DATED: July 3, 2025 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.		
20	GLOVSKI AND FOILO, F.C.		
21			
22	By /s/ Andrew D. Skale Andrew D. Skale		
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff IYO, Inc.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-3- Case No. 3:25-cv-4861-TI		
	5 222 101 5125 61 1001 11		

Case 3:25-cv-04861-TLT Document 55 Filed 07/03/25

Page 4 of 6

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

- 1. Pursuant to the Court's inherent power to manage its docket, this action shall be stayed for all purposes pending the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issuing a decision on the Appeal.
- 2. Within one week of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issuing a decision on the Appeal, the Parties shall meet and confer regarding a proposed briefing and hearing schedule for any motion for preliminary injunction.
- 3. If the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has not issued its decision by November 17, 2025, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith about how this action should proceed in light of developments to date in the Appeal, including the hearing date.

Case No. 3:25-cv-4861-TLT

United States District Judge

CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1 ATTESTATION

I, Margret M. Caruso, am the ECF user whose credentials were utilized in the electronic filing of this document. In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories listed above.

DATED: July 3, 2025

9 By /s/ Margret M. Caruso

Case No. 3:25-cv-4861-TLT